Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/648,482	JOEI, MASAHIRO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lynne A. Gurley	2812
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Lynne A. Gurley (Examiner).	(3)	
(2) Ramyar M. Farid (Attorney-of-Record).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 April 2004	Time: @ 2:30 PM	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	licant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 102(b)		
Claims discussed: 1-10		
Prior art documents discussed: Chen et al. (US 2001/0014529)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ 	The examiner will provide a water record of the substance of	ritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
LYNNE A. GURLEY PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER TC 2800, AU 2812		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	ant/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner telephoned the Attorney-of-Record to discuss allowable subject matter: the inclusion of the limitation "wherein the antioxidant layer contains CuO as a main component", which would differentiate the claimed invention over the mai component of Cu2O in the Chen reference. The Attorney-of-Record gave the Examiner permission to make the changes on 5/10/04.